

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,965	08/05/2003	Robert M. Engelke	920197.90349	8797
7590 07/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Nicholas J. Seay			WOO, STELLA L	
Quarles & Brad	ly LLP			
P O Box 2113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Madison, WI 53701-2113			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,965	ENGELKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stella L. Woo	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 10. (35 U.S.C. 8.133)
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	BEST AVAILA	BLE COPY
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 07122004

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 7 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,603,835. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,603,835 is the same as claim 7 of the present application except it does not specify a microphone, a speaker, a visually readable display, circuitry to support connection to two telephone lines and a microprocessor. However, the telephone station of claim 1 inherently includes a microphone and speaker in order to carry out a voice communication (col. 12, lines 4-9), the captioned telephone display of claim 1 inherently includes a visually readable display (col. 12, line 17) and circuitry to support connection to two telephone lines since claim 1 recites communication over first and second telephones (col. 12, lines 4-7). The use of a microprocessor in telephony devices is old and well known such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to use a microprocessor to carry out the recited steps of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Engelke et al. (US 5,974,116) shows a personal interpreter. Brunet et al. (US 5,995,590) converts speech received over the telephone line for display. August (US 5,787,148) and Duffin (US 5,991,723) show other text/speech relay services.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643